# LEISURE ACTIVITY AND WORK-FAMILY EXPECTATIONS: DO LEARNING DISABILITIES MAKE A DIFFERENCE?

RACHEL GALI CINAMON-TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY YISRAEL RICH-BAR ILAN UNIVERSITY ISRAEL

cinamon@post.tau.ac.il

#### RATIONALE

- Future expectations regarding work-family relations are part of identity construction and career planning in emerging adulthood (Cinamon, 2006).
  Self efficacy (SE) is a proven powerful variable that explains young adults' work-family conflict expectations.
- The contribution of SE to the explanation of work-family facilitation expectations has rarely been investigated.

• Leisure activities have positive effects on adolescents' academic achievement, life satisfaction and student positive development (Fredricks & Eccles, 2006). • The contribution of leisure activity to the career development of young adults has not been examined despite the role leisure activities play in identity and career development.

- Career development of students with learning disabilities (LD) has received relatively little attention.
- The literature indicates that LD students encounter difficulties in different aspects of career development such as career decision making self efficacy.
- Anticipated future work-family relations among students with LD have not been studied.

### PURPOSES

• To examine the contribution of SE to work-family conflict and facilitation expectations among young adults with and without LD.

• To examine the contribution of leisure activities during adolescence to Work-family Relations (WFR) expectations among young adults with and without LD.

#### PARTICIPANTS

- 187 (112 female) unmarried Israeli BA students, mean age =26 (sd=2.12). Ninety five (55 female) participants were diagnosed with learning disabilities.
- Most students (85%) were Jewish, 10% were Moslem and 5% were Christian.
  Approximately 88% were born in Israel, 2% were born in Africa, 2% in Europe and 8% in the former USSR.

#### MEASURES

- Anticipated WFC: Cinamon's (2006) 14 item questionnaire measured participants' anticipation of W→F conflict (alpha=.78) and F→W conflict (alpha=.81) on a 5-point Likert-type scale.
- Anticipated WFF: Cinamon & Rich's (2005) 14 items scale measured W→F facilitation (alpha=.81) and F→W facilitation (alpha=.83) on a 5-point Likert-type scale.
- Self-efficacy to manage WFC and WFF: Cinamon's (2010) 16 item questionnaire measured confidence to manage future conflict and to create future enrichment between work and family (4 subscales). Alpha=.87-.90.
- Demographic variables.

#### EXPECTED CONFLICT AND FACILITATION RELATIONS



## LINEAR REGRESSION TO PREDICT WF CONFLICT (49%)

Predict Variable	В	SE.B	β
Gender	12	.09	08
LD	19	.07	13*
SE WF conflict	13	.03	33**
SE FW conflict	.08	.03	.21**
SE WF Facilitation	.03	.02	.09
SE FW Facilitation	06	.03	15*

## LINEAR REGRESSION TO PREDICT FW CONFLICT (47%)

Predict Variable	В	SE.B	β
Gender	.14	.09	.09
LD	.27	.09	.19**
SE WF conflict	.07	.03	.19**
SE FW conflict	11	.03	29**
SE WF Facilitation	02	.02	04
SE FW Facilitation	.05	.03	.11

# LINEAR REGRESSION TO PREDICT WF FACILITATION (29%)

Predict Variable	В	SE.B	β
Gender	21	.13	12
LD	13	.13	07
SE WF conflict	07	.04	14
SE FW conflict	.06	.04	.13
SE WF Facilitation	.15	.03	.34**
SE FW Facilitation	03	.05	05

# LINEAR REGRESSION TO PREDICT FW FACILITATION (51%)

Predict Variable	В	SE.B	β
Gender	.12	.08	.09
LD	01	.08	01
SE WF conflict	.05	.03	.13
SE FW conflict	06	.02	17*
SE WF Facilitation	05	.02	14*
SE FW Facilitation	.23	.03	.61**

## AND LEISURE ACTIVITY IN ANTICIPATING WF CONFLICT F(1, 175)=11.68; P<.05



## AND LEISURE ACTIVITY IN ANTICIPATING FW CONFLICT F(1, 175)=3.63; P<.05



# DISCUSSION

•Anticipated WFR as part of exploration during emerging adulthood.

•The higher facilitation expectations suggest optimistic nature of future orientation; alternatively that these young adults may not be adequately prepared to deal with inter-role conflict.

- SE is a powerful variable in explaining WFR expectations.
- The importance of specific SE.
- LD adolescents may experience an exploration process that differs meaningfully from that of youngsters without LD.
- The fact that leisure activities during adolescence affected students with or without LD differently may be explained by the difficulties encountered by LD students in organizing and managing multiple tasks.

- Long term effects of leisure activities in career development.
- Future research can focus on exploring the personal meaning of anticipated future relations between life roles, as well as personal meaning of leisure.
- Practical implications:

Potential value and risk of leisure during adolescence.

Career intervention to manage multiple roles with special attention to LD adolescents.



